	FILED	
THE		м

OCT -3 2019

CLERK OF SUPERIOR COUR

Name office D. Gon SALVES Address ASAC - TVCSON, Sen TVCSON Senta Kito, pe box 24401 City, State, Zip TVCSon, AZ \$5134

> IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHIGE

5 (INMATE'S NAME)

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

12

20

24

INCA D. GONZALVES.

Plaintiff,

COIL VAIONER

CHARLES RYAN Defendant

CAPT. DANIEZ ROOS WARDEN HORICE Taylor

STATE OF ARIZONA 11

CV201900469

STATE COURT COMPLAINT JURY TRIAL DEMANDED PENDENT JURNDICTION

DIV. 4

This court has jurisdiction pursuant to AIR.S. & 31-201,01 as The only defendent in this case is the Auty STAFFOF ARIZONA. ALLOTHER PORSONS are employees of the STAFFOF ARIZONA, This COURT also has rendent Jurisdiction. JURISDICTION (Where there are jurisdictional grounds, set forth.) 13 PLAINTIFF (Identify the Plaintiff.) is an inconcented inmate in the Approved Dept. of Corrections. He is also the above plaintiff, over the age of 18 and competent to testify to all issues in this matter. 16

18 DEFENDANT (Identify the Defendant.). 19

(a). Monica Taylor, is Deputy Warden at ASPC Douglas Complex.

21 (b) Daniel Roos, is Captain in the ADC staff 22

C) COIL Vajoner, Dept. Staff 23

(d.) CR GLYNNI, Director/ Designee Appeals Unit at

Central Office -ADC 25

W. Charles Ryan, Derector of ADC

(f) Carlson McWilliams - Division Director of Offender operations, ADOC.

* Plaintil did not Submit a Notice de Claim because he did not realize this case belonged in the Superior Court until a Federal District Court judge informed him in a Court order duted Filed: 08/07/19, well beyond the 180 days as required by ARS-12-821. the District Court Coase Number 13. EV-19-00324-TUC-FRZ. EVENTS (Set forth the facts necessary in support of the claim.) Plaintiff only Leeks Expungement of Disciplinary 2 Infraction and the Recission of 180 days Parole Class III. Ite does not seek Compensatory and/or punitive damages or the loss of good time. In this Complaint, on the sight of Hay 19, 2018, 5 Plaintiff was informed that he would be transferred. to another prison want complex and A pack-up his belongings and place in Property Boxes. The Property boxes was then taken to the Offices. See, (next pages for Continuances) 9 APPLICABLE LAW SUPPORTING CLAIM (Set forth applicable law.) 10 11 12 INJURIES (Set forth injuries and damages.) 13 Plaintiffs Parote Hearing will be prejudiced, 1.c., set back. Plaintiff Loss 180 days of Parole Class III. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

-2-

DEMAND FOR RELIEF (Set forth the relief sought.) Expungement of Disciplinary Record
Recission of 180 days Parole Class III Injunctive Relief DATED this 28 day of August, 20 19. Jeffrey D. Gonsalves

CONTINUACE OF EVENTS

	The property boxes
	Plaintitt was Separated from his property boxes to approximately
	72 hours where other inmates had access to the boxes, 1. Co, for
	lifting, transferring and placement purposes.
	on May 20, 2018, plaintiff was transferred to ADL Douglas
	Complex from Winslow Complex. On 5/22/18, COTT HARO activated ICS
	for a White Crystalized Powder Substance found inside a fragerty
	Box belonging to plaintiff Juring Intake.
	Upon a physical Learch located a Deck of Cards wasped
	in a bug inside the box that contained the white crystalized
	the White crystalized powdery Substance.
	This Search was completed by a K-9 Offices CORK.
	Plaintiff was placed on Report by Co Haro, pictures were
	Plaintiff was placed on Report by Co Haro, pictures were taken and Contraband was Secured in CIU evidence locker.
•	
	on 6/1/18, plaintiff entered a Not quilly Plea to a
س	on 6/1/18, plaintiff entered a Not quilty Plea to a
• 	
	At the disciplinary housing, COIL HARD testified that, "

5

he did not have to break a taped sealed box to conduct a Learch discoverying the beck of CARDS within plaintith's Enoperty Box. At the disciplinary hearing Capt. Daniel Roos found plaintiff quilty based upon the preparderance of the evidence, he also levied penalties his release, in Leptember 2019. Capt. Roos also andered for Ferling all items in plaintiffs property not listed in the inventory forms; and plaintiff was placed in detention. On 6/1/18, Plaintiff appealed the Disciplinary Infraction's Adequacy of Prost. See, FN-1. On 6/9/18, Warden Hanica Taylor upheld the findings of built on the basis that, " a review of Box did not indicate it had been tampered with and that " ... as for the deck of Cards not being listed in your property list, This is common practice than not every item will be itemized " on 6/13/18, plaintiff appealed the Warden's decision of appeal for the dele reason of adequacy of proof, to the Director Charles Ryan. On 6/19/18, Plaintiff' Lecond Level Appeal was responded to by CR 6/400, The Director Designee Appeals Administrator for Charles Ryan CR Egy GLYNN responded and stated in pert. pt. that, ... the Disciplinary Hearing reflects that the appropriate Standard of proof for the finding of guilt was met." CR 6/4nn goes on to state that, "The record contains adequate evidence that you committed a 13 A offense, Exempting Presen Contraband, whereby desying plaintiffs appeal. Lee, (Exhibit 8) FN-1, Adequacy of Brook - on 6/1/18, during the Appeal of

Disciplinary Infraction , plaintiff explained in part. pt. that, is while being the ferred to Tueson C.I. I for a layover my personal property was handled by five black inmates workers. my boxes were not closed by COII Vajoner in accordance with D.O. 909.05." Plaintiff goes on to state that, "The next morning my property box was sitting outside of the Tueson C.I.P. building. This Violates D.O. 909.05, stating that, ... property that has been inventoried and sealed shall be secured in areas inaccessible to inmates until time for transportation." Dept. 2taff's violation of D.D. 909 a lowed the apportunity for black inmates workers to plant a deck of Cards with the powdery Substance in plaintiffs property " Furthermore, the black inmutes was Leeking Revenge, as he knew pluistiff was comming up for laruce and a disciplinary infraction will give plaintiff more time, push Parole Date back. Plantiff was concerned about inmates Stealing his property but not placing drugs [in] his property. Plaintiff never owned a Deck of Cards but Cards were found inside a plushi bag inside the property box. Lee (Exhibit 1) and (Exhibit 6). Defendants both Subjected and Caused the deprivation of plaintiff's Constitutional Rights by failing to make a finding of Guitt in a procedurally proper names before imposing punishment for violation of preson rules. Each defendant was personally involved for their individual acconsiding, in their official and inchiridual Capacities while acting under the Color of State laws acti y negligence. Plaintiff a so demands trial by juny.

COUNT I-A

Defendant COII Vajoner, Inventory DFFILED, pecklessly dis regarded ADC'S D.O. 409 by [singlety] inventoring and plaintiffs inventory sheet That indicated the inventory was a true and accurrente account at his property box. Lee, (Declaration of Jeffrey Consalves).

COUNT I-B

During the disciplinary hearing on 6/1/18, Defendant
Capt. Roos found plaintiff guilty by a preparderance of the
endence but was willfully blinded when ignoring the fact
that COIL Vajoner completed the inventory of plaintiff's
property box on 5/20/18, there was no Deck of Caeds listed in
his property description form, Lee, (Exhibits 2 and 3)

COUNT I-C

Defendant Roos was willfully blinded and delikerately indifferent to the testimony of COII. Hard at the Disciplining Hearing when he testitied that he did not have to break a taped sealed box to conduct the search when discovering the Deck of Cards within plaintiffs property box. Defendant Roos Ovoided having knowledge of Haro's testimony when finding plaintiff guilty. See, (Exhibits I and 4)

COUNT I-D

Defendant Manica Taylor, is the first level of Appeal in the Disciplinary levcess and is responsible for making sure. Dept. Ltaff follow all procedures outlined in Dept. Order 909. Defendant displayed a Eustern and Usage while being deliberately indifference in permitting Dept. Staff to only make a Fingle Tinventory of each individual item, in violation of D.O. 909. Lee, (Exhibit 9)

This Custom? Usage of ADL Wardens "making sure Staff fullow procedures outlined in ADL's policy" is breached by Defendant Taylor when permitting Itajf to use their independant descretion as to which items will be inventoried on not be inventoried. This custom of ADL Wardens is Widespread and Systemic making the entire D.D. 909 disingenious and untrustworthy. See, (Declaration of Jeff Conscious at #20)

COUNT I-E

Defendant CR 6/4nn is the Serieta Designee of Appeals
Unit Administrata in responsible for monitoring the effectiveness
of the procedure and ensuring that all appeals are presented
to the Derictor for Review. Defendants, with Reckless disregard
to the facts which are contrary to CR 6/4nn's Central Office Level
decision demonstrates that, "The Contraband was not found

in plaintiff's possession as plaintiff was separated from his property approximately 72 hours and the plaintiff's property box was not property secured. Lee, (Exhibit 8)

COUNT I-F

Defendant Canson McWilliams - Division Airector
of Offender Operations - is responsible for the overall operations
of the Inmate Generalizable and Disciplinary Enocedures under
the direction of the General Counsel and the Central Affice
Appeals unit Administrator.

Defendant, with Wilful Blindness and Reckless dispared was well aware of ADC's Wanders Custom & Usage of "... not listing every item of property Boxes on Unit description forms."

He is further aware, but acts deliberately indifferent & ADC Wanders permitting its Officers to use their own discretion to list what items [they feel] should be itemized.

COUNT I-6

Charles Ryon - pramulgates and implements D.D. \$ 907 which establishes the controls to property belanging to inmetes. Defendant is acts deliberately indifferent to plaintiffice stath Amendment Constitutional Rights When he fails to establish Safeguards to ensure plaintiff will not be antiturily or Capriciously found quilty and punished

for Rule violations. Lee, (Declaration of Jeffrey bonsalves at 21). CONCLUSION: The Contraband was not found on plaintiff. It was tound in his property box that was separated from plaintiff approximately to hours, where the property was not within his central and was not properly Lecured. Everyone had access to it! It could have been anyone. Relief Requesteds Wherefore, plaintiff requests that this Cover grant the following: A. Issue on Injunction OFDERING defendants Roos, Taylor, GIANN and McWilliams on their agents to & 1. Expunde the Disciplinary Infraction described in this complaint from plaintiff's institutional record. 2. Recision of 180 Days Parole Class III. 3. Grant such other relief as it may appear that plaintiff is entitled.

	·
	1 1 0 1 111
	by: Hop W. Donows
	Ly: John D. Dombur Jeffrey D. Gonsalus Plaintitt, Rosse
	3 14 0 14
	flaintiff, Mise
0. '1. [] 1.	
Copias mailed to the a foregoing	
copias mailed to the aforgoing on This 10th day of Sept. 2019, to:	
Clark, Superior Court	
,	·
·	
	·
	•
	·
·	
•	· ·
	·
	12

.